QUESTIONNAIRE FOR PERSONNEL INVOLVED WITH RADIOACTIVE MATERIALS

The purpose of this questionnaire is to assist Cabrera Services, Inc. in collecting information for a Historical Site Assessment (HSA) in support of the Environmental Condition of Property (ECP) Phase I for selected Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) installations. The HSA findings will be used to design and perform radiological surveys, as necessary to support release of the selected installation. Please complete this questionnaire to the best of your recollection, and include any additional explanations in the Additional Notes/Comments section on the last page of this questionnaire or on an attached sheet of paper.

| Date of Interview:July 13, 2006 |
|--|
| Name of Interviewer: Bob Dover, Michele Driscoll & Megan Fay |
| Selected BRAC Installation: Fort Monmouth |
| Mode of Communication(s): Face-to-face interview |
| Contact Information: Building 116 |
| What is your name and what is/was your job title/position? Ed Haw, Garrison Supply Facility Manager |
| David Campbell, Garrison Supply Receiving Department Manager |
| Terry Flynn, Garrison Supply Excess Department Manager |
| 2. During what span of years have you worked, or did you work, at this installation? |
| Mr. Haw has worked at Fort Monmouth for 23 years. Mr. Campbell has been in receiving for |
| 10 years. Ms. Flynn has been in the Excess department for over 2 years. |
| 3. How many years have you worked with radioactive materials? |
| All have worked with radioactive materials since being has been employed at this installation. |
| 4. Can you name or identify the radioactive commodities or devices that you or anyone else |

None known.

might have worked on within the selected installation? What isotopes did they contain?

5. Can you identify any locations/areas/buildings of known use or storage of radioactive material used at the selected installation, including fuel, raw materials, experiments, products, and liquid and solid effluents and wastes? (Be specific; Bldg/room numbers, outdoor areas, etc.)

There is a cage in the northeastern corner of the main office where Radiac meters are stored, but none were present at the time of the visit. Mr. Haw says they have a radiological detector to screen them when they come in. Sometimes they get items on pallets, and send them to CECOM Safety, but this does not happen often. They would have been stored in different locations within the building because designated storage areas have moved around. This building now acts as a depot for these materials, and has for 20 years.

Building 116 sometimes receives shelters that are demilitarized by the Safety Office at the building, when the source is removed and the shelter scanned, but this has not happened in several years. When they receive shelters that are locked, the Safety Office comes to unlock them, however, they almost always arrive demilitarized. But if this still needs to be done, then the items are sent to Terry in "Excess." Received shelters are stored outside between Buildings 116 and 117. CECOM Safety also demilitarizes the Radiac meters when necessary.

There is a cage in the northeastern corner of Excess where Radiac meters would be stored.

The CECOM Safety office surveyed the cages monthly when the meters were stored at the building. They would certify everything as demilitarized at Building 116, but they did not leave any records there, keeping the surveys at the CECOM Safety Office.

- 6. Where and how was the shipping and receiving of radioactive material handled? See answer to previous question.
- 7. Did any of the radioactive commodities or devices contain radium-226, cesium-137, hydrogen-3 (tritium) or cobalt-60? How did you handle these items (e.g., standard procedures, contamination controls, personal protective equipment, etc.)?

None known.

| 8. Did your standard operating procedures address disposal of radioactive materials or |
|--|
| contaminated material/waste? Are you aware of any disposal, or incineration, of radioactive |
| material onsite or if rad material was transferred to an industrial landfill as non-rad trash? |
| Not applicable. |
| |
| 9. Was animal research, with radioactive material, ever performed at the site? Describe. |
| None known. |
| 10. Are you aware of the presence of any radionuclide-containing exit signs or smoke alarms? |
| None known. |
| 11. Were electronic maintenance activities performed on equipment with electron tubes? Where? |
| Not applicable. |
| 12. Describe what would happen if a radioactive commodity or device was damaged or broken. |
| Whom would you tell? What special procedures would have been implemented? |
| Not applicable. |
| 13. Do you recall any instance of broken or leaking sources or any other contamination incidents |
| or accidents? Describe as accurately as can be recalled, including dates, specific rad materials and |
| forms, contamination levels, aerial extent of contamination, and disposition. |
| None known. |
| 14. Are you aware of any studies/reports that may have identified contaminated areas and the |
| isotopes activated? Describe. |
| None known. |
| 15. Are there any other individuals you feel should be interviewed regarding the above items? |
| They do not mention any individuals. |

16. What areas would you concentrate on if you were conducting a radiological close out survey of the selected installation?

They do not recommend concentrating on any specific areas.

17. Additional Notes / Comments:

Building 116 was built in 1943. Mr. Haw does not know its use prior to their current activities; he believes that it was used for receiving and storage of excess material.

Building 116 acts as a Base Contractor for Garrison supply, they are not a tenant, and are, therefore, not the same as DRMO.

Excess materials are turned in at the eastern end of the building.